

Update on investigating CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from tropical wetlands

A.Klemme T. Warneke, N. Daskalakis, M. Vrekoussis, J. Notholt November 22, 2019

Universität Bremen



NOAA, Mauna Loa [Dlugokencky et al., 2017]

- Methane Sources:
  - Wetlands
  - Agriculture
  - Fossil Fuel
  - Other
- Methane Sink:
  - Reaction with OH<sup>-</sup>



NOAA, Mauna Loa [Dlugokencky et al., 2017]

- Methane Sources:
  - Wetlands (216.9  $\mathrm{Tg/yr})$
  - Agriculture (143.6 Tg/yr)
  - Fossil Fuel (122.1  $\mathrm{Tg/yr})$
  - Other (118.4  $\mathrm{Tg/yr}$ )
- Methane Sink:
  - Reaction with OH<sup>-</sup>



NOAA, Mauna Loa [Dlugokencky et al., 2017]

- Methane Sources:
  - Wetlands (216.9  $\mathrm{Tg/yr})$
  - Agriculture (143.6 Tg/yr)
  - Fossil Fuel (122.1 Tg/yr)
  - Other (118.4  $\mathrm{Tg/yr}$ )
- Methane Sink:
  - Reaction with OH<sup>-</sup>



NOAA, Mauna Loa [Dlugokencky et al., 2017]

 $\cdot\,$  Natural wetland emissions: 20 to 50 % of global  $CH_4$  emissions

- Methane Sources:
  - Wetlands (216.9  $\mathrm{Tg/yr})$
  - Agriculture (143.6 Tg/yr)
  - Fossil Fuel (122.1 Tg/yr)
  - Other (118.4  $\mathrm{Tg/yr}$ )
- Methane Sink:
  - Reaction with OH<sup>-</sup>



NOAA, Mauna Loa [Dlugokencky et al., 2017]

- $\cdot\,$  Natural wetland emissions: 20 to 50 % of global  $CH_4$  emissions
  - waterlogged areas of high carbon content  $\rightarrow$  methanogenesis

- Methane Sources:
  - Wetlands (216.9  $\mathrm{Tg/yr})$
  - Agriculture (143.6 Tg/yr)
  - Fossil Fuel (122.1  $\mathrm{Tg/yr}$ )
  - Other (118.4  $\mathrm{Tg/yr}$ )
- Methane Sink:
  - Reaction with OH<sup>-</sup>



NOAA, Mauna Loa [Dlugokencky et al., 2017]

- $\cdot\,$  Natural wetland emissions: 20 to 50 % of global  $CH_4$  emissions
  - waterlogged areas of high carbon content  $\rightarrow$  methanogenesis
- $\cdot~>50\,\%$  of wetl. emis. between 25  $^{\circ}\mathrm{N}$  and 25  $^{\circ}\mathrm{S}$

#### Global distribution of rice & wetland emissions



## Global distribution of rice & wetland emissions



Interesting emission regions:

- Amazon river basin
- Congo river basin
- Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna river basin



#### Two model runs for atmospheric methane:

- 1. calculated with full emission product
- 2. calculated excluding local emissions







• datasets from 13 different soil models

### Wetland CH4 emission datasets

- datasets from 13 different soil models
- $\rightarrow\,$  used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP

- datasets from 13 different soil models
- $\rightarrow\,$  used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois et al., n.d.]

- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



- datasets from 13 different soil models
- ightarrow used for calculation of Global Methane Budget by CGP
- $\rightarrow$  2000 2017: (101 179) TgCH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> [Saunois *et al.*, n.d.]



#### · comparison of regional wetland emissions



 $\cdot\,$  comparison of modeled  $CH_4$  with satellite data

- $\cdot\,$  comparison of modeled  $CH_4$  with satellite data
- 1st attempt: Run 2010 2017 using AR5 RCP85

- $\cdot$  comparison of modeled CH<sub>4</sub> with satellite data
- 1st attempt: Run 2010 2017 using AR5 RCP85



- comparison of modeled CH<sub>4</sub> with satellite data
- 1st attempt: Run 2010 2017 using AR5 RCP85
- deviation from satellite data starting 2014



- $\cdot$  comparison of modeled CH<sub>4</sub> with satellite data
- 1st attempt: Run 2010 2017 using AR5 RCP85
- deviation from satellite data starting 2014 but reasonable seasonality



 $\cdot$  2nd attempt: look at seasonality only

 $\cdot$  2nd attempt: look at seasonality only



- · 2nd attempt: look at seasonality only
- good correlation with satellite data



- · 2nd attempt: look at seasonality only
- good correlation with satellite data
- no quantitative results possible



- · 2nd attempt: look at seasonality only
- comparison of datasets



- · 2nd attempt: look at seasonality only
- comparison of datasets



- · 2nd attempt: look at seasonality only
- comparison of datasets



- · 2nd attempt: look at seasonality only
- comparison of datasets



- · 2nd attempt: look at seasonality only
- comparison of datasets



- · 2nd attempt: look at seasonality only
- comparison of datasets



- · 2nd attempt: look at seasonality only
- comparison of datasets



· 3rd attempt: With versus without wetland emissions

· 3rd attempt: With versus without wetland emissions



- · 4th attempt: Implementation of CMIP6 Data
- $\cdot$  emission and mole fraction files implemented

- · 4th attempt: Implementation of CMIP6 Data
- emission and mole fraction files implemented
- After a lot of ERR, the model runs.. but there are more issues:

- · 4th attempt: Implementation of CMIP6 Data
- emission and mole fraction files implemented
- After a lot of ERR, the model runs.. but there are more issues:



#### $\cdot$ evaluation of different wetland CH4 emission datasets

• evaluation of different wetland CH4 emission datasets using TM5 forward model & satellite data

- evaluation of different wetland CH4 emission datasets using TM5 forward model & satellite data
- emission datasets range from 2000 2017
  - $\rightarrow\,$  implementation of years in TM5
  - ightarrow no comparison with S5-P data

- evaluation of different wetland CH4 emission datasets using TM5 forward model & satellite data
- emission datasets range from 2000 2017
  - ightarrow implementation of years in TM5
  - ightarrow no comparison with S5-P data
- Remaining questions:
  - Which wetlands should we focus on? (Amazon?, Congo?, Ganges?)
  - How to solve the problem with CMIP6 data?